

REMARKS

In the Official Action mailed on **25 January 2007**, the Examiner reviewed claims 1-29. Claims 1-29 were provisionally rejected on the ground of non-statutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-27 of co-pending Application No. 10/637,168.

Non-Statutory Double Patenting

Claims 1-29 were provisionally rejected on the ground of non-statutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-27 of co-pending Application No. 10/637,168

Applicant respectfully submits the attached terminal disclaimer to obviate the obviousness-type double patenting rejection.

Hence, Applicant respectfully submits that independent claims 1, 15, and 29 as presented are in condition for allowance. Applicant also submits that claims 2-14, which depend upon claim 1, and claims 16-28, which depend upon claim 15, are for the same reasons in condition for allowance and for reasons of the unique combinations recited in such claims.

CONCLUSION

It is submitted that the present application is presently in form for allowance. Such action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

By



A. Richard Park

Registration No. 41,241

Date: 6 February 2007

A. Richard Park
PARK, VAUGHAN & FLEMING LLP
2820 Fifth Street
Davis, CA 95618-7759
Tel: (530) 759-1661
FAX: (530) 759-1665
Email: richard@parklegal.com